

COURTS IN LA PAZ COUNTY INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY STRATEGIC PLAN 2014-2016 SUMMARY



LOCAL INITIATIVES, DRIVERS, AND PRESSURES

- Pursue paperless court operations and eliminate duplicate data entry; implement EDMS throughout limited jurisdiction court environment; standardize e-forms, enable e-signature; implement e-citation; enable court-to-court transfers of electronic records.
- Increase ease of ad hoc reporting from statewide automation systems.
- Eliminate incorrect data entries for various case types.
- Increase public access to court records and automate records retention.
- Expand FARE to non-criminal case types.

CY 2011/12 ACCOMPLISHMENTS

- Began electronic transfers to COA1 and Supreme Court using C2C.
- Restored TIP/FARE functionality for superior court criminal cases.
- Enabled electronic payment of fines and fees from court website.
- Provided public wireless access in court buildings.
- Obtained remote access to county financials for courts.
- Replaced legacy telephone system.

Statewide Projects: Impacts, Concerns, and Participation Plans

LJ CMS	Desire all courts to use same API for better recordkeeping; will be mid-cycle adopters.
JOLTSaz	Request simplified report generation within JOLTSaz rather than requiring Crystal; will be a late adopter.
LJ EDMS	Two courts on disconnected scanning, others may be planning standalone system; will be mid-cycle adopters.
e-Filing/Std Forms	Concerned about bandwidth and infrastructure requirements, especially at most remote courts; will be mid-cycle adopter.
Bench Automation Architecture	New presiding judge; willing to be early adopter. Don't perform local development; clerk is aware of items in containment status

TECHNOLOGY PROJECTS					
Project	Year/ Status	Project Detail Provided			Comments
		Full¹	Skeletal²	Mention³	
WestLaw Access	FY14		X		LJ courts
EDMS in LJ Courts	FY13		X		Local JCEF; Conceptual
TIP/FARE (Non-Criminal Cases)	FY14		X		Superior Court Clerk
Upgrade Phone Systems	FY16		X		With County IT
Paperless Courts / e-Courts	>FY17		X		All courts
Standardize e-Forms	FY14		X		Superior Court Clerk
e-Citation	FY14		X		LJ Courts; on hold
e-Signature	FY14		X		All courts; planned
Electronic Transfer of Case Records	FY15		X		All courts; C2C & EDMS
Scan/Microfilm Closed Cases	FY16		X		Superior Court Clerk
Fix AZTEC Codes	FY13			X	LJ Standardization
Simplify Report Generation	FY14		X		All courts
Improve FMSCR Data Accuracy	FY14		X		LJ courts; need validation on entry

Note 1:

An “X” in “Full” indicates that the court has provided full detailed information about the project according to the general parameters outlined in the Commission on Technology’s Project Management Methodology. Also, risk analysis, impact, project costs and funding information has been provided.

Note 2:

An “X” in “Skeletal” indicates that the court provided detail about the local project in the master projects listing spreadsheet. Complete information, usually risks, impact analysis, project costs and funding, was not provided.

Note 3:

An “X” in “Mention” indicates that the court mentioned this project in a summary or listed it in an initiative. It may have been a phrase or a full paragraph of description, but did not contain detailed project-oriented information. If these projects are related to pursuing standards or directions already adopted (e.g., OnBase EDMS implementation, Jury+ upgrade, digital audio in the courtroom), then any mention which includes appropriate funding information is sufficient.